

# Schubert Conditions and Intersections. ①

We start by recalling our basic setup.

The Plucker embedding maps

$$G_K(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N, \text{ where } N = \binom{K}{n} - 1$$

by taking determinants of submatrices of the  $K \times n$  matrix whose rows determine a given  $K$ -plane.

These determinants obey Plücker relations given by any  $(K-1)$ -multindex  $j = j_1 \cdots j_{K-1}$  and  ~~$K+1$~~ -multindex  $l = l_1 \cdots l_{K+1}$ .

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^K (-1)^{\lambda} p(j_1 \cdots j_{K-1} l_\lambda) p(l_1 \cdots \hat{l}_\lambda \cdots l_{K+1}) = 0.$$

Last, we can see a  $K$ -plane in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as a  $K-1$  plane in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  by taking intersections with the  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  at  $(1, \dots)$ .

(2)

We now want to work out conditions for a given  $(k-1)$ -plane in  $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  to intersect a flag of subspaces in a given way.

Let

$$A_0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq A_{k-1}$$

~~be~~ be a chain of linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ .

We say a  $(k-1)$ -subspace  $L$  obeys the Schubert condition ~~given by~~  $A_0, \dots, A_{k-1}$  if

$$\dim(A_i \cap L) \geq i$$

for all  $i$ .

Example:

Definition.  $\Omega(A_0, \dots, A_{k-1})$  is the set of  $(k-1)$  dimensional spaces obeying the Schubert condition  $(A_0, \dots, A_{k-1})$ .

(3)

Example. Let  $A_0$  be a line in  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  and  $A_1$  be  $\mathbb{C}P^3$ . Then  $A_0, A_1$  is a flag and  $\Omega(A_0, A_1)$  a set of lines in  $\mathbb{C}P^3$ .

Since every line intersects  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  itself in a 1-d subspace,

$$\Omega(A_0, A_1) = \{ \text{lines intersecting } A_0 \}.$$

Proposition. Let  $0 \leq a_0 < \dots < a_{k-1} \leq n-1$ , and for  $i=0, \dots, k-1$ , let  $A_i$  be the  $a_i$  dimensional linear subspace in  $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  of points in the form

$$A_i = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_{a_i}, 0, \dots, 0) \}$$

Then  $\Omega(A_0, \dots, A_{k-1})$  consists of points in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  where the determinant  $P(j_0, \dots, j_{k-1}) = 0$  whenever  $j_i > a_i$  for some  $i$ .  
exactly the

(4)

Proof.

Suppose  $L \subset \Omega(A_0, \dots, A_{K-1})$ . Choose  $K$  points  $P_0, \dots, P_{K-1}$  so that  $P_i \in A_i \cap L$  and the  $P_i$  are linearly independent.

Then the  $P_i$  span  $L$ .

By construction, since

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} P_0(0) & \cdots & P_0(n-1) \\ P_1(0) & P_1(1) & \cdots & P_1(n-1) \\ \vdots & & & \\ P_{K-1}(0) & P_{K-1}(1) & \cdots & P_{K-1}(n-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

the Plucker coordinate  $j = (j_0, \dots, j_{K-1})$  is given by

$$\det \left[ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ P_i(j_B) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right]$$

Now suppose for some  $\lambda$ ,  $j_\lambda > a_\lambda$ . Then we have a block of zeros in the upper-right corner of the matrix.

We now see how large the block is  
by writing

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} p_0(0) & \cdots & p_0(a_0) & 0 & 0 \\ p_1(0) & \ddots & \cdots & p_1(a_n) & 0 \\ p_2(0) & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & p_2(a_2) & 0 \\ p_{k-1}(0) & & & \vdots & p_{k-1}(a_{k-1}) \\ & & & j_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

column

50

$$L_j = \begin{bmatrix} & & \overset{k-\lambda}{\brace{}} \\ & \textcircled{0} & \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \} \lambda+1$$

where  $L_j$  is a  ~~$(K+1) \times K$~~   $K \times K$  matrix.  
 We claim that such a determinant  
 is always zero.

To prove it, we need a neat linear algebra trick!

(6)

We all know how to expand along a row ~~or~~ or column by minors.

In fact, we can expand along a collection of rows or columns at the same time!

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix, and  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$  be a  $k$ -multindex of row numbers while  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_k)$  is a multindex of column numbers.

$S(A; r, c)$  = submatrix formed by  
keeping  $a_{ij} \Leftrightarrow i \in r, j \in c$

$S'(A; r, c)$  = submatrix formed by  
deleting all rows in  $r$  and  
 all columns in  $c$

(7)

## Laplace Expansion Theorem.

We have for any ~~sets~~ <sup>fixed</sup> ~~rows~~ ~~cols~~  $r$ ,

$$\det A = (-1)^{|r|} \sum_c (-1)^{|c|} \det(S(A, r, c)) \det(S'(A, r, c)).$$

or for any fixed  $c$ ,

$$\det A = (-1)^{|c|} \sum_r (-1)^{|r|} \det(S(A, r, c)) \det(S'(A, r, c))$$

where  $|r| = \sum r_i$  and  $|c| = \sum c_i$ .

---

We now set  $c = (K-\lambda, \dots, K)$  and consider

$$\det L_j = (-1)^{|c|} \sum_r (-1)^{|r|} \det(S(L_j, r, c)) \det(S'(A, r, c)).$$

So consider .

$S(L_j; r, c)$  = a selection  
of  $K-\lambda$  rows  
from the  ~~$K \times K$~~   
matrix  ~~$(K-\lambda) \times K$~~   
 $K \times (K-\lambda)$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \textcircled{O} & \\ \hline & \end{array} \right]_{\lambda+1}^{\lambda+1}$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \textcircled{X} & \end{array} \right]_{K-\lambda-1}^{K-\lambda-1}$$

since this must include a zero row, we're done.

## Schubert Relations II.



We have now proved that

$$L \in \Omega(A_0, \dots, A_{K-1}) \Rightarrow p(j_0, \dots, j_{K-1}) = 0 \text{ whenever } j_\lambda > a_\lambda \text{ for some } \lambda.$$

We need to go the other way. So suppose we have some point  $\overset{k}{\lambda}$  in the Plucker embedding of  $G_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$  so that  $p(j_0, \dots, j_{K-1}) = 0$  whenever  $j_\lambda > a_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda$ .

Among the nonzero coordinates of  $L$ , there is some  $\overset{k}{\lambda} \cdot L = (l_0, \dots, l_{K-1})$  so that  $p(l) \neq 0$  and  $\sum l_i$  is maximized. We can rescale the coordinates of  $L$  so that  $p(l_0, \dots, l_{K-1}) = 1$ .

Now in our construction of ~~a~~ a  $K$ -plane from a point in  $\mathbb{C}P^N$  satisfying the

(9)

Plucker relations, we saw that after such a rescaling, we could construct a basis for  $L$  by the matrix

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \quad L_{ij} = p(l_0, \dots, l_{i-1}, j, l_{i+1}, \dots, l_{k-1}).$$

Notice first that if  $j > a_i$ , we have  $L_{ij} = 0$ . To see this recall that

$p(l_0, \dots, l_{k-1}) \neq 0$ , so  $l_i \leq a_i$  for all  $i$

thus  $\sum l_i \leq a_i$  if  $j > a_i$ ,

$$l_0 + \dots + l_{i-1} + j + l_{i+1} + \dots + l_{k-1} > l_0 + \dots + l_{k-1},$$

and hence  $p(l_0, \dots, l_{i-1}, j, l_{i+1}, \dots, l_{k-1}) = 0$  because  $(l_0, \dots, l_{k-1}) = l$  was the nonzero coordinate of maximal sum.

Thus the ~~row~~  $\mathbb{R}$   $i$ th row  $P_i$  of  $L$  lies in  $A_i$ , as required for  $L$  to satisfy the ~~3~~ Schubert conditions  $\Omega(A_0, \dots, A_{k-1})$ .  $\square$

(10)

We now observe that (as expected) the sequence of dimensions  $a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}$  of the subspaces of the flag is enough to determine the flag up to a linear transformation.

**Proposition.** Let  $A_0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq A_{k-1}$  and  $B_0 \subsetneq B_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq B_{k-1}$  be two strictly increasing sequences of linear subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  so that  $\dim B_i = \dim A_i$  for each  $i$ .

Then  $\exists$  an invertible linear transformation of  $\mathbb{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  which takes the Plucker embedding of  $G_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  to itself and  $\Omega(B_0, \dots, B_{k-1})$  to  $\Omega(A_0, \dots, A_{k-1})$ .

Corollary. For any increasing sequence of subspaces  $B_0 \subsetneq B_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq B_{K-1}$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ,  $\Omega(B_0, \dots, B_{K-1})$  is the intersection of a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{C}P^N$  with the Plucker embedding of  $G_K(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

The linear space is a hyperplane  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\dim B_0 = n - K, \dim B_1 = n - K + 2, \dim B_2 = n - K + 3, \dots, \dim B_{K-1} = n.$$

Suppose we have  $A_0 = n - K, A_1 = n - K + 2, \dots, A_{K-1} = n$ .

The linear conditions which define

$\Omega(A_0, \dots, A_{K-1})$  are that  $p(j_0, \dots, j_{K-1}) = 0$  if  $\exists \lambda$

so that  $j_\lambda > a_\lambda$ .

There is only one such ~~sequence~~ multindex  $j$  in exactly this case, and it is

$$j_0 = n - K + 1, j_1 = n - K + 2, \dots, j_{K-1} = n.$$

Example.

We want to know how many lines intersect 4 lines in  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4$ .

These lines ~~are~~ in  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$  are represented by the Grassmannian  $G_2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ , which consists of the points in  ~~$\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{(4)-1}$~~   $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^5 \subset \mathbb{R}^6$

~~which satisfy the single Plücker relation~~

$$p(12)p(34) - p(13)p(24) + p(14)p(23) = 0.$$

Now we know that the lines intersecting a given line A are given by

$$\Omega(A, \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3) \subset G_2(\mathbb{R}^4) \subset \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^5$$

so the lines intersecting  $L_1, \dots, L_4$  are given by

$$\Omega(L_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3) \cap \Omega(L_2, \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3) \cap \dots \cap \Omega(L_4, \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3).$$

(13)

Now the sequence of dimensions for these flags is 1, 3 so we know by the corollary that

$$\Omega(L_i, \mathbb{R}P^3) = G_2(\mathbb{R}^4) \cap H_i$$

where  $H_i$  is a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}P^5$ .

The intersection of all 4 of these hyperplanes is a line in  $\mathbb{R}P^5$ , spanned by ~~two~~ vectors  $v, w$  in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .

The intersection of this line with the Grassmannian  $G_2(\mathbb{R}^4)$  is given by solutions of the homogenous quadratic given by the Plucker relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_{12}x + w_{12}y)(v_{34}x + w_{34}y) \\ - & (v_{13}x + w_{13}y)(v_{24}x + w_{24}y) \\ & (v_{14}x + w_{14}y)(v_{23}x + w_{23}y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is given by a quadratic form  
in the vector  $(x)$ , which either  
~~has two solutions~~  
has:

- 1) 2 (orthogonal) lines as solutions
- 2) 1 line, ~~as~~ "double covered" as solution
- 3) All  $(x,y)$  as solutions.

The first case is the generic one!

Therefore, we have learned that:

2 lines intersect a generic  
quadruple of lines in  $\mathbb{RP}^3$ .