

The Plücker Relations II

We now need to show that the Plücker relations determine the points in \mathbb{P}^N (recall $N = \binom{n}{k} - 1$) which come from points in $G_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ through the Plücker embedding.

Proof. A point α in \mathbb{P}^N has at least one nonzero coordinate; let it correspond to the multindex $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$.

If α obeys the Plücker relations, we claim that all $N+1$ coordinates of α are determined by the $k(n-k)+1$ coordinates of the form

$$p(\ell_1, \dots, \hat{\ell}_1, \dots, \ell_k, j_\beta), \quad j_\beta \in 1, \dots, n$$

~~which are not always~~

To verify this count, recall that

~~the~~ coordinates are labelled by multindices, which cannot contain repeated elements, ~~are~~ and don't depend on order, so that if $j_B \in \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$, $j_B = l_\lambda$.

There is therefore only one way to have $j_B \in \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$.

If $j_B \notin \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$, it is chosen from $n-k$ possible indices. Since there are k possible choices for λ , there are $k(n-k)$ coordinates in this form. \square

Now suppose we have some arbitrary multindex $j = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$. Exactly m of these are NOT in $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ (for some m).

(3)

~~The Plücker relation for j_1, \dots, j_m~~

Choose some j_β among these m .

The Plücker relation for $j_1, \dots, \hat{j}_\beta, \dots, j_k$

and $\{l_1, \dots, l_k, j_\beta\}$ yields

$$p(j_1, \dots, \hat{j}_\beta, \dots, j_k, j_\beta) p(l_1, \dots, l_k) = \pm \sum_{\lambda=1}^k p(j_1, \dots, \hat{j}_\beta, \dots, j_k, l_\lambda) p(l_1, \dots, \hat{l}_\lambda, \dots, l_k, j_\beta).$$

Now if l_λ is one of the $\overset{m-1}{\uparrow} j_1, \dots, \hat{j}_\beta, \dots, j_k$ which are among l_1, \dots, l_k , we are to take the value of p as zero and this term vanishes from the relation.

The remaining terms have $\{j_1, \dots, \hat{j}_\beta, \dots, j_k, l_\lambda\}$ so that exactly $m-1$ are among l_1, \dots, l_k .

(4)

The terms $p(l_1, \dots, \hat{l}_\alpha, \dots, l_k, j_\beta)$ have already been fixed, so ~~we may~~ ~~solve for~~ since $p(l_1, \dots, l_k) \neq 0$, we can solve for

$$p(j_0, \dots, j_k) = \pm p(j_0, \dots, \hat{j}_\beta, \dots, j_k, j_\beta)$$

in terms of coordinates with $(m-1)$ of the j_i not in l_1, \dots, l_k .

This procedure can be iterated until $m=2$ ~~($m=2$)~~, at which point we are expressing everything in terms of known coordinates. This proves that our $k(n-k)+1$ known coordinates determine the rest. \square

So assume $p(l_1, \dots, l_k) = 1$ (wlog). ~~and~~

We will now construct a k -plane in \mathbb{C}^n with these Plücker coordinates. Consider the vectors

~~$\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$~~

$$\vec{v}_1 = (p(1, l_2, \dots, l_k), p(2, l_2, \dots, l_k), \dots, p(n, l_2, \dots, l_k))$$

$$L = \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{matrix}$$

$$\vec{v}_k = (p(l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}, 1), p(l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}, 2), \dots, p(l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}, n)).$$

We claim these span our plane.

a) They are linearly independent.

Consider the column l_λ . Each $p(l_\lambda, l_2, \dots, l_k)$ to $p(l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}, l_\lambda)$ contains a repeated index and so is zero except the ~~λ~~ λ th row, which is ± 1 .

⑥

This means that the submatrix L_ℓ is an identity matrix (and has $\det 1$, as required). In particular, this shows L has full rank.

b) This plane has correct Plücker coordinates after embedding in \mathbb{P}^N .

Suppose ~~ex~~ we have coordinate $j = (l_1, \dots, \hat{l}_\lambda, \dots, l_k, j_\beta)$. Columns $l_1, \dots, \hat{l}_\lambda, \dots, l_k$ of L are columns of the identity matrix. Column j_β is more interesting: only row λ will contribute to the determinant $\det L_j$, and that entry is $p(l_1, \dots, l_{\lambda-1}, j_\beta, l_{\lambda+1}, \dots, l_k)$ as desired.

Since these coordinates are correct, all others must be, too: we know that

the coordinates of $p(L)$ obey the Plücker relations, and we have shown that under these conditions, coordinates in this form determine all coordinates! \square ⑦

The last part of this is to show that this is the only plane which has these Plücker coordinates.

Actually, this is not hard. If we have some such L' , consider

$$p(L'_e) \neq 0.$$

Alter the basis for L' by a $K \times K$ matrix so that $p(L'_e) = 1$.