

A SPHERICAL FABRICIUS-BJERRE FORMULA WITH APPLICATIONS TO CLOSED SPACE CURVES

JOEL L. WEINER

Let $\gamma: C \rightarrow S$ be a \mathcal{C}^3 immersion of the circle, C , into the 2-sphere, S , of unit radius. We call γ a *closed spherical curve*. Fabricius-Bjerre [1] discovered a formula for a "generic" closed plane curve, c , which involves the number of double points of c , the number of inflection points of c , and the number of double tangents of c . An analogous formula will be obtained for "generic" closed spherical curves which involves all of the above but, moreover, involves the number of pairs of points of $\gamma(C)$ which are antipodal to one another. We will adapt the proof given by Fabricius-Bjerre so that it works for spherical curves. Benjamin Halpern [4] gives an alternate approach to the proof of the formula of Fabricius-Bjerre; presumably this approach could be adapted as well to give a proof of our formula for closed spherical curves.

We will also give some applications of our formula to closed curves in Euclidean 3-space. The results for space curves are obtained by viewing γ as the tangent indicatrix of the given space curve. Particularly noteworthy is Theorem 3 which states that any "generic" non-degenerate closed space curve possesses a pair of parallel tangents or a pair of parallel osculating planes.

1. The formula.

We will first concern ourselves with some definitions. Some restrictions will be imposed on γ in the course of doing this. Let γ' denote the field of positive unit tangent vectors to γ , i.e. those unit tangent vectors pointing in the direction of traverse of γ .

A point $P \in S$ is a *double point of γ* if $\gamma^{-1}(P)$ contains more than one point of C . We will assume that each double point of γ has precisely two preimages in C . Moreover, if $\{x, y\} = \gamma^{-1}(P)$ we require that $\gamma'(x) \neq \pm \gamma'(y)$. For any $P \in S$, let \bar{P} denote its antipode. If $P \in S$, then $\{P, \bar{P}\}$ is called an *antipodal pair of points of γ* if there exists points $x, y \in C$ such that $\gamma(x) = P$ and

$\gamma(y) = \bar{P}$. We assume that each point of $\{P, \bar{P}\}$ is not a double point. In addition, if $\gamma(x) = P$ and $\gamma(y) = \bar{P}$, we insist that $\gamma'(x) \neq \pm \gamma'(y)$. If $\bar{\gamma}: C \rightarrow S$ is defined by $\bar{\gamma}(x) = \gamma(x)$, for each $x \in C$, then each point of an antipodal pair of points of γ is a crossing point of γ with $\bar{\gamma}$.

We suppose the reader is familiar with the concept of geodesic curvature of a curve in S ; the geodesic curvature of γ will be denoted by k . An *inflection point* of γ is a point at which $k = 0$. We suppose that no inflection point is a double point or a point of an antipodal pair. Also, we insist that at each inflection point k' , the derivative of k with respect to arc length, is non-zero.

A *double tangent* of γ is a geodesic, i.e., great circle, l , that is tangent to $\gamma(C)$ at precisely two distinct points. We assume that each point of tangency is not a double point, either point of an antipodal pair of γ , or an inflection point of γ . A double tangent, l , is called an *exterior* double tangent if the curve $\gamma(C)$ lies on the same side of l near each point of tangency, otherwise l is called an *interior* double tangent.

When all the restrictions described immediately above are satisfied for a closed spherical curve γ , we will say that γ is *generic*. We will be concerned with the number of double points, antipodal pairs, etc. of a generic spherical curve. Therefore let:

- d = the number of double points of γ ,
- a = the number of antipodal pairs of γ ,
- $2i$ = the number of inflection points of γ ,
- t = the number of exterior double tangents,
- s = the number of interior double tangents.

For generic γ it turns out that each of d, a, i, t , and s is finite.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\gamma: C \rightarrow S$ be a generic closed spherical curve; then*

$$t - s = d - a + i.$$

PROOF. We will assume that the reader is familiar with the proof of Theorem 1 of [1] and explain how to adjust that proof to give a proof of this theorem.

First, we need something to take the place of the positive half-tangent, p^+ , and the negative half-tangent, p^- , used in the proof given by Fabricius-Bjerre. The obvious choice is to use half-geodesics. So suppose $x \in C$; let $\gamma(x) = P$ and $\gamma'(x) = v$, the unit positive tangent vector to $\gamma(C)$ at P . Then let l_x^+ , respectively l_x^- , be the geodesic segment of length π emanating from P in the direction v , respectively $-v$. For each $x \in C$, let $N^+(x)$, respectively $N^-(x)$, be the number of points common to $\gamma(C)$ and l_x^+ , respectively l_x^- . Then,

just as Fabricius-Bjerre, we keep track of $N(x) = N^+(x) - N^-(x)$, or more precisely the changes in $N(x)$ as x traverses C . Note that the changes in $N(x)$ as $\gamma(x)$ passes through a double point, an inflection point, or a point of tangency of a double tangent are just as Fabricius-Bjerre observed in the planar case.

What is new is that there is a change in $N(x)$ as $\gamma(x)$ passes either point of an antipodal pair $\{P, \bar{P}\}$ of γ . Let, in fact, $y \in C$ with $\gamma(y) = \bar{P}$. Then as x passes y note that $\bar{\gamma}(x)$ crosses $\gamma(C)$ at P . Denote the half-geodesics to $\bar{\gamma}$ at $\bar{\gamma}(x)$ by \bar{I}_x^+ and \bar{I}_x^- . Also let $M^+(x)$, respectively $M^-(x)$, be the number of points of \bar{I}_x^+ , respectively \bar{I}_x^- , in common with $\gamma(C)$, and finally let $M(x) = M^+(x) - M^-(x)$. Since $\bar{\gamma}' = -\gamma'$, it follows that $\bar{I}_x^+ = I_x^-$ and $\bar{I}_x^- = I_x^+$, for all $x \in C$. Hence $N(x) = -M(x)$, for all x . Thus the changes in $N(x)$ are just the opposite of the changes in $M(x)$, but the change in $M(x)$ as x passes y would be the same as the change in $N(x)$ if $\gamma(x)$ had crossed itself at P . Hence the change in $N(x)$ as x passes y is the opposite of the change in $N(x)$ as $\gamma(x)$ passes a double point. Hence, we adjust the formula of Fabricius-Bjerre, $t - s = d + i$, by adding $-a$ to the side of this formula that contains d and obtain $t - s = d - a + i$.

Let H denote an open hemisphere of S . Then the following corollary is obvious.

COROLLARY. *Let $\gamma: C \rightarrow S$ be a generic closed spherical curve with $\gamma(C) \subset H$. Then $t - s = d + i$.*

REMARK. It is interesting to note that the Corollary follows directly from the formula of Fabricius-Bjerre. Regard S as a unit sphere in Euclidean 3-space and let E be a plane tangent to S which is parallel to the equator bounding H . Let $\pi: H \rightarrow E$ denote central projection of H onto E . What is significant about π is that π preserves geodesics, i.e., the half-geodesics in H are mapped by π to straight lines in E . Hence π preserves double tangents of both kinds as well as inflection points of curves. Since π obviously preserves the double points of curves, the formula $t - s = d + i$ for γ "pulls-back" from the formula of Fabricius-Bjerre for $\pi \circ \gamma$.

2. Applications.

Let E^3 denote Euclidean 3-space. A \mathcal{C}^4 immersion $\alpha: C \rightarrow E^3$ is called a *closed space curve*. We suppose that α is non-degenerate; saying that α is *non-degenerate* means that α has positive curvature, κ , on C . Let τ denote the torsion of α .

We will use a prime to denote differentiation with respect to the arc length

of α . Thus α' represents the field of positive unit tangents to α . Define $\gamma: C \rightarrow S$ by setting $\gamma(x) = \alpha'(x)$, for all $x \in C$. Then γ is called the *tangent indicatrix* of α . By applying the formula in Theorem 1 to this tangent indicatrix, γ , we obtain a formula for α . It just remains for us to decide what the various properties of γ studied in section 1 mean in terms of α . Of course, we must impose suitable restrictions on α so that γ is generic; in fact, we will say α is *generic* when its tangent indicatrix, γ , is generic. We will leave the details of describing these restrictions on α to the reader. This should be easy after reading the subsequent paragraphs.

Let P be a double point of γ ; in fact, suppose $\{x, y\} = \gamma^{-1}(P)$. From the definition of γ , it is immediate that $\alpha'(x) = \alpha'(y)$. Hence each double point of γ corresponds to a pair of points on $\alpha(C)$ whose positive unit tangents are parallel in the same direction; we say these points have *directly parallel tangents*. Likewise, an antipodal pair of points of γ corresponds to a pair of points on $\gamma(C)$ whose positive unit tangents are parallel but oppositely directed. We say these points have *oppositely parallel tangents*.

One may show [3] that the geodesic curvature of γ , k , is related to κ and τ by

$$k = \tau/\kappa.$$

Hence γ has an inflection point at x if and only if $\tau(x) = 0$ but $\tau'(x) \neq 0$; we have taken into account here that γ is generic. A point of $\alpha(x)$ is called a *vertex* of α if $\tau(x) = 0$ and $\tau'(x) \neq 0$. Hence each vertex of α corresponds to an inflection point of γ and conversely.

Now suppose l is a double tangent of $\gamma(C)$. Let $\gamma(x)$ and $\gamma(y)$ be the two points at which l is tangent to $\gamma(C)$, where $x, y \in C$. Since α is non-degenerate we may define its *binormal indicatrix* $\beta: C \rightarrow S$ by

$$\beta = \frac{\gamma \times \gamma'}{\|\gamma \times \gamma'\|}.$$

It follows that $\beta(x) = \pm\beta(y)$; see [3] for details. If we let $\mathcal{O}(z)$ denote the osculating plane to $\alpha(C)$ at $\alpha(z)$, for all $z \in C$, then, of course, this means that $\mathcal{O}(x)$ is parallel to $\mathcal{O}(y)$ since $\beta(z)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{O}(z)$, for all $z \in C$. Suppose, in addition, that l is an exterior double tangent. View l as the equator of S and say that $\gamma(C)$ lies in the northern hemisphere near $\gamma(x)$ and $\gamma(y)$. Let N be the north pole. Of course, N may be viewed as a vector in E^3 orthogonal to both $\mathcal{O}(x)$ and $\mathcal{O}(y)$. Clearly $(\alpha \cdot N)' = \gamma \cdot N > 0$ for points of C near x or y . Hence α passes through each of $\mathcal{O}(x)$ and $\mathcal{O}(y)$ going in the same (general) direction. If l had been an interior double tangent then α would have passed through each of $\mathcal{O}(x)$ and $\mathcal{O}(y)$ going in the opposite (general) direction. We say

the pair of points $\alpha(x)$ and $\alpha(y)$ have *concordant*, respectively *discordant*, parallel osculating planes if $\mathcal{O}(x)$ is parallel to $\mathcal{O}(y)$ and α passes through each of $\mathcal{O}(x)$ and $\mathcal{O}(y)$ going in the same, respectively opposite, direction.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\alpha: C \rightarrow E^3$ be a generic non-degenerate closed space curve, then*

$$i = t - s - d + a,$$

where

$2i$ = the number of vertices of α ,

d = the number of pairs of directly parallel tangents of α ,

a = the number of pairs of oppositely parallel tangents of α ,

t = the number of pairs of concordant parallel osculating planes of α ,

s = the number of pairs of discordant parallel osculating planes of α .

Theorem 2 has a number of interesting consequences.

COROLLARY. *Let $\alpha: C \rightarrow E^3$ be a generic non-degenerate closed space curve with positive torsion, then*

$$t - s = d - a,$$

where now:

t = the number of pairs of directly parallel binormals,

s = the number of pairs of oppositely parallel binormals.

PROOF. Since $\tau > 0$, $i = 0$. Also, since $\tau > 0$, α passes through each of its osculation planes going in the (general) direction of its binormal.

The next theorem is particularly interesting in light of the fact that there exist a closed space curves with no pairs of parallel tangents (see [5]), i.e. $d + a = 0$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $\alpha: C \rightarrow E^3$ be a generic non-degenerate closed space curve. Then α must possess a pair of parallel tangents or a pair of parallel osculating planes.*

PROOF. Suppose, to the contrary, that $d = a = t = s = 0$. Then Theorem 2 implies $i = 0$; hence the torsion, τ , does not vanish. But W. Fenchel [2] has shown for closed non-planar space curves with $\kappa > 0$ and $\tau \geq 0$ that $d \geq 2$. We have a contradiction.

REMARK. We do not need to assume α is generic in Theorem 3 for this

theorem to hold. It is enough to assume that any point at which $\tau = 0$ is a vertex.

COROLLARY. *Let $\alpha: C \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^3$ be a generic non-degenerate closed space curve with non-vanishing torsion. Then α must possess a pair of parallel principal normals.*

PROOF. Let us assume α has no pair of parallel principal normals. Then one can see that the tangent indicatrix and the binormal indicatrix are what J. White [6] calls SD-generic, since α is generic in the sense of this paper and has no parallel principal normal pairs. By Theorem 3, α must possess a pair of parallel tangents or a pair of parallel binormals. Hence α must possess a pair of parallel principal normals (see [6]). This contradiction proves the corollary.

REFERENCES

1. Fr. Fabricius-Bjerre, *On double tangents of plane closed curves*, Math. Scand. 11 (1962), 113–116.
2. W. Fenchel, *Über Krümmung and Windung geschlossener Raumkurven*, Math. Ann. 101 (1929), 238–252.
3. W. Fenchel, *On the differential geometry of closed space curves*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 57 (1951), 44–54.
4. B. Halpern, *Global theorems for closed plane curves*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 96–100.
5. B. Segre, *Global differential properties of closed twisted curves*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano 38 (1968), 256–263.
6. J. H. White, *Global properties of immersions into Euclidean spheres*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1971), 1187–1194.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII AT MANOA
HONOLULU, HI. 96822
U.S.A.