

Case 2 for Theorem 1

Erik Forseth

July 13, 2007

1 Setup

For Case 2, $e_1 \neq e_2$ and so for our "variational" calculations we choose:

$$\xi = e_1 \ominus e_2 \tag{1}$$

We know (or can at least compute easily after the first section) the following:

$$\delta(e_1 \ominus e_2)(d) = -\langle \omega, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \tag{2}$$

$$\delta(e_1 \ominus e_2)(l) = -2 \tag{3}$$

$$\delta(e_1 \ominus e_2)\left(\frac{d}{l}\right) = 2\frac{d}{l} - \frac{1}{l}\langle \omega, e_1 + e_2 \rangle = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\langle \omega, e_1 \rangle = \langle \omega, e_2 \rangle = \frac{d}{l} \tag{5}$$

$$\delta^2\left(\frac{d}{l}\right) = \frac{1}{l}\delta^2(d) - \frac{2\delta(d)\delta(l)}{l^2} + \frac{2d(\delta(l))^2}{l^3} - \frac{d}{l^2}\delta^2(l) \geq 0 \tag{6}$$

2 Calculations

Now that $e_1 \neq e_2$, when we see these two vectors dotted with one another we will not be able to simplify to 1. We'll need to come up with an expression for their product:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_2 \rangle &= |e_1 + e_2|^2 \\ \Rightarrow 2 + 2\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle &= |e_1 + e_2|^2 \\ \Rightarrow 2\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle &= |e_1 + e_2|^2 - 2 \end{aligned}$$

We'll want to keep this relationship in mind. Now, plugging in equations (2) and (3) above into equation (6), we can simplify our second variation inequality to

$$\delta^2\left(\frac{d}{l}\right) = \frac{1}{l}\delta^2(d) - \frac{4}{l^2}\langle \omega, e_1 + e_2 \rangle + 8\frac{d}{l^3} \geq 0 \tag{7}$$

Then, in light of equation (5), we can simplify the middle term as follows:

$$\delta^2 \left(\frac{d}{l} \right) = \frac{1}{l} \delta^2(d) - \frac{4}{l^2} \left(2 \frac{d}{l} \right) + 8 \frac{d}{l^3} = \frac{1}{l} \delta^2(d) \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

Thus, we need only compute $\delta^2(d)$, which is not the same as in Case 1, as we will see when we compute it. There are two reasons for this: first of all, our variation vector is now (1, -1) as opposed to (1, 1), and secondly, we will get cross term inner products when we compute d_{uv} , which is why we needed an expression for $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$. In Case 1 that expression was simply 1.

Now we begin our computation of $\delta^2(e_1 \ominus e_2)(d)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2(e_1 \ominus e_2)(d) &= \left\langle H(d) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} d_{uu} & d_{uv} \\ d_{vu} & d_{vv} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= d_{uu} - 2d_{uv} + d_{vv} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

So we need to compute these second partials, starting with the relations $d_u = -\langle \omega, e_1 \rangle$ and $d_v = \langle \omega, e_2 \rangle$.

Starting with d_u , we get that:

$$d_{uu} = -\langle \omega_u, e_1 \rangle - \langle \omega, \vec{\kappa}(u, t) \rangle \quad (10)$$

So we need to compute ω_u :

$$\omega_u = -\frac{1}{d} e_1 - \frac{X(v, t) - X(u, t)}{d^2} d_u = \frac{\langle \omega, e_1 \rangle}{d} \omega - \frac{e_1}{d} \quad (11)$$

Plugging this back into equation (10) and using equation (5), we get that

$$d_{uu} = \frac{1}{d} - \frac{d}{l^2} - \langle \omega, \vec{\kappa}(u, t) \rangle \quad (12)$$

It should not be difficult, following the same procedure and using equation (5), to compute that

$$d_{vv} = \frac{1}{d} - \frac{d}{l^2} + \langle \omega, \vec{\kappa}(v, t) \rangle \quad (13)$$

Lastly, we compute that

$$d_{uv} = -\langle \omega_v, e_1 \rangle = \frac{d}{l^2} - \frac{1}{d} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \quad (14)$$

Now, we want to plug all of these into equation (9) and use our expression for $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$. We should get that

$$\delta^2(e_1 \ominus e_2) \left(\frac{d}{l} \right) = \frac{1}{dl} |e_1 + e_2|^2 - 4 \frac{d}{l^3} + \frac{1}{l} \langle \omega, \vec{\kappa}(v, t) - \vec{\kappa}(u, t) \rangle \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

But we will show that the first two terms are, in fact, equal. In this case $\omega \parallel (e_1 + e_2)$, so we can use the fact that $\langle \omega, e_1 + e_2 \rangle = |e_1 + e_2|$ to rewrite the first term in (15) as

$$\frac{1}{dl} \langle \omega, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{dl} (\langle \omega, e_1 \rangle + \langle \omega, e_2 \rangle)^2 = \frac{1}{dl} \left(\frac{d}{l} + \frac{d}{l} \right)^2 = 4 \frac{d}{l^3} \quad (16)$$

Then the first two terms in (15) obviously do cancel and we wind up with the same conclusion as in Case 1, as we wanted:

$$\frac{1}{l} \langle \omega, \vec{\kappa}(v, t) - \vec{\kappa}(u, t) \rangle \geq 0 \quad (17)$$