

Iterative Methods II - Choosing splittings ①

We now see that we can define an iterative method based on any splitting

$$A = M - K, \quad R = M^{-1}K, \quad c = M^{-1}b$$

and it will converge if $\rho(R) < 1$. This gives us two goals:

- 1) M^{-1} should be easy to compute
- 2) $\rho(R)$ should be small.

We establish notation. If A has no zeros on the diagonal, let

$$A = D - \tilde{L} - \tilde{U} = D(I - L - U)$$

where $-\tilde{L}$ and $-\tilde{U}$ are the strictly upper and lower triangular parts of A ,

$$DL = \tilde{L} \quad \text{and} \quad DU = \tilde{U}$$

Jacobi's Method.

(2)

Choose $A = D - (\tilde{L} + \tilde{U})$. Then

$$R = D^{-1}(\tilde{L} + \tilde{U}), \quad c = D^{-1}b.$$

This corresponds to solving for x_j in the j th equation using whatever the current values are for other variables. To see this

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1,j} &= (D^{-1}(\tilde{L} + \tilde{U})x_n + D^{-1}b)_j \\ &= \frac{1}{d_{jj}} \left(\sum_{k \neq j} (\tilde{L} + \tilde{U})_{jk} x_{n,k} + b_j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a_{jj}} \left(-\sum_{k \neq j} a_{jk} x_{n,k} + b_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now you can assume that having updated $(j-1)$ elements of x_{n+1} already, it would improve things to use these to compute $x_{n+1,j}$ rather than the $x_{n,k}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, j-1\}$.

This leads to the Gauss-Seidel method. (3)
We write it first term-by-term

$$X_{m+1,j} = \frac{1}{a_{jj}} \left(b_j - \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{jk} X_{m+1,k}}_{\text{new guys}} - \underbrace{\sum_{k=j+1}^n a_{jk} X_{m,k}}_{\text{older guys}} \right)$$

We would like to write this in our standard form. We start with

$$a_{jj} X_{m+1,j} = b_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{jk} X_{m+1,k} - \sum_{k=j+1}^n a_{jk} X_{m,k}$$

or

$$\sum_{k=1}^j a_{jk} X_{m+1,j} = - \sum_{k=j+1}^n a_{jk} X_{m,k} + b_j.$$

or

$$(D - \tilde{L}) X_{m+1} = \tilde{U} X_m + b$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} X_{m+1} &= (D - \tilde{L})^{-1} \tilde{U} X_m + (D - \tilde{L})^{-1} b. \\ &= (D(I - L))^{-1} (DU)^{-1} X_m + (D(I - L))^{-1} b \end{aligned}$$

$$= (I-L)^{-1} D^{-1} \tilde{U} \cancel{X_m} + (I-L)^{-1} D^{-1} b$$

$$= (I-L)^{-1} U x_m + (I-L)^{-1} D^{-1} b.$$

This gives the Gauss-Seidel splitting:

$$\cancel{A} = \underbrace{D(I-L)}_M - \underbrace{\tilde{U}}_K$$

The next method we introduce is called successive overrelaxation, and denoted $SOR(\omega)$ where ω is a parameter.

We will use (instead of all "new" ~~of~~ ^{GS}) ~~all "old" ^{Jacobi} a linear~~ a linear combination of new and old values ~~of~~.

As before, this looks more motivated when we write it as a sum:

(5)

$$X_{m+1,j} = \underbrace{(1-\omega) X_{m,j}}_{\text{old value}} + \frac{\omega}{a_{jj}} \left(b_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{jk} X_{m+1,k} - \sum_{k=j+1}^n \hat{a}_{jk} X_{m,k} \right)$$

Gauss-Seidel value

Rearranging, we have

$$a_{jj} X_{m+1,j} + \omega \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{jk} X_{m+1,k} = (1-\omega) a_{jj} X_{m,j} + \omega \sum_{k=j+1}^n a_{jk} X_{m,k} + \omega b_j$$

we then have

$$(D - \omega \tilde{L}) X_{m+1} = ((1-\omega)D + \omega \tilde{U}) X_m + \omega b$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} X_{m+1} &= \left(D(I - \omega L) \right)^{-1} \left((1-\omega)D + \omega \tilde{U} \right) X_m \\ &\quad + \omega \left(D(I - \omega L) \right)^{-1} b. \\ &= (I - \omega L)^{-1} D^{-1} \left((1-\omega)D + \omega \tilde{U} \right) X_m \\ &\quad + \omega (I - \omega L)^{-1} D^{-1} b. \end{aligned}$$

(6)

$$= (I - \omega L)^{-1} ((1-\omega)I + \omega U) x_m \\ + \omega^\# (I - \omega L)^{-1} D^{-1} b.$$

This is the splitting

~~$$A = (D - \omega L)^{-1} ((1-\omega)D + \omega U)$$~~

~~$$A = (D - \omega L)^{-1} ((1-\omega)D + \omega U)$$~~

$$M = (D - \omega \tilde{L})$$

$$M^{-1}K = (D - \omega \tilde{L})^{-1} ((1-\omega)D + \omega \tilde{U})$$

$$\omega A = (D - \omega \tilde{L}) - ((1-\omega)D + \omega \tilde{U}) \\ = -\omega D - \omega \tilde{L} - \omega \tilde{U}$$

or

$$A = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\omega} (D - \omega \tilde{L})}_M - \underbrace{\frac{1}{\omega} ((1-\omega)D + \omega \tilde{U})}_K.$$

⑦

How should we pick ω ? Well, if Gauss-Seidel is pushing the solution in a good direction, it might help to go further ($\omega > 1$). This is called over relaxation.

Next time: convergence criteria and speed for Jacobi, GS, and SOR(ω).